

Neighbourhood Plan

Notes from the Sub-Group Meeting 18.03.19

- 1. Present:**, Richenda Broad, Mike Fenwick, Sue Finnegan, Liam Percy, Derek Simpson
Apologies : Alan Bates,
- 2. Purpose of the Meeting** - to review the validity of the selection criteria and the methodology by which they had been developed in the light of the revised target and report back on any issues.
- 3. Validity of the Selection Criteria and the Methodology.**

In advance of the meeting all the participants were sent links to

- evidence of opinion captured in the questionnaire.
- The selection criteria developed through consultation events and the resulting assessments
- the minutes of the site selection meeting:
- the validation consultation

Each of the 15 criteria were considered individually and all were considered to remain valid and unchanged by the revised target.

In addition the question was posed ' Would we have included any other criteria for a target of 20/25 houses?' . The conclusion was that we would not have done.

Conclusion - that this subgroup reconfirms the site selection criteria and assessment.

4. Suggestions for inclusion in the community consultation event.

- **Size of Site** - the issue of viability to achieve the stated outcome of housing mix was discussed. Given a reduced target, this suggests that one site is more likely to achieve this than the original proposal of a number of sites across the village. This relates to the previous consultation question 25.
- **Location of sites** - given a reduced target and the possibility of one site it is more likely that the preference for sharing development out across the village may need to be considered again. This relates to the previous consultation question 15.
- **Pace of Development/Phasing** - the reduced target also raises the issue of what pace of development might be appropriate and if phasing is still relevant. This relates to the previous consultation question 21